

NASEMSO Spring and Fall Meeting

East

Key Points:

- Directors need to be involved in the selection process, not just a subset or the executive committee.
- Double hosting (a fall and spring meeting over a couple years) is supported.
- Cost should be an issue during selection.
- Some states felt it important to retain the regional solicitation model, others didn't care.

Other Thoughts:

- Keep the regional rotation model, asking the upcoming region to collect offers from states in their region prior to the selection, and having ASMI staff works with the offering states to develop cost proposals for their states that would be part of the presentation or provided to members prior to selection.
- A site would then be selected for the upcoming spring and subsequent year fall meeting or something similar.

North Central

Key Points:

- No concerns with the proposal as provided in the agenda.
- Meeting location is not as important as the content of the meetings.
- Rotating the meeting location between the regions is important:
 - Indirect added benefit to seeing EMS in other locales.
 - Rotation allows for those in close proximity to potentially increase attendance.
 - Assists with justification for those with governments that restrict/scrutinize travel – some sites may be more accessible than others; some locations may be considered “touristy” that would make it more difficult to attend.
- There needs to be a good balance between cost and savings to NASEMSO and cost and savings to the states/membership.
- Convenience and safety of the area surrounding the venue/location should continue to be important.
- No concerns with offering a fall/spring meeting (2 meetings) to a single location.
- Membership should continue to select the potential locations.

West

Key Points:

- There are pros and cons to both options.
- All things being equal most would prefer to keep the current practice of rotating by state and by Region.
- We all acknowledge that cost is not to be taken lightly, and understand that it is a consideration.
- If the Board decides to change the current practice, states would like some input in determining rotation.

- Ease of access/cost is a consideration. (Goes to Mark's comment.)

Other Thoughts:

- I will also suggest/request that ASMI provide a more detailed fiscal analysis of the meeting for consideration.

South Central

Key Points:

1. Three year rotation (through regions) schedule is supported. (Membership selection involvement preferred.)
2. Ease of airport access should be considered.
3. Cost should be considered as well as location (Orlando can be a hard sell for some states).
4. Responsibilities of host state should be clear. (Host state buys in preferred.)

Other Thoughts:

1. The association and staff, through the regional reps, would seek "candidate cities".